

INTRODUCTION

- An estimated 500,000 Canadians have Alzheimer's disease or a related dementia.^[1] Research shows that Montessori Methods (MM) are a promising intervention to promote prosocial behaviors and aid in engagement.^[2] MM supports a person with dementia in a prepared environment that builds on existing skills, interests and abilities to enhance function and independence.^[3]
- There are a number of approaches for implementing MM. This includes implementation by staff, peers, volunteers and can be done either individually or in group settings.
- Given the observed benefits of MM for dementia, there is a need to identify optimal and feasible approaches for implementing MM across dementia care settings in Canada.

OBJECTIVE

- To map the literature on different approaches of implementing MM to assess their feasibility and effectiveness in dementia-care settings.

SCOPING REVIEW

- Electronic and manual literature search** of peer-reviewed and grey literature published up until April 2014 using the following databases:
 - Peer-reviewed:** PsycInfo, AgeLine, MEDLINE, CINAHL, ASSIA, and ERIC.
 - Grey literature:** Dissertations and Theses: Full Text, and Scopus
- Inclusion Criteria:**
 - Articles published in English.
 - Nursing homes/ assisted living facilities, hospitals / adult day care centres, or home care settings.
 - Articles focusing on implementation of MM (quantitative / qualitative / review and/or commentary).
- Data abstraction:** Country of origin, study design, sample, MM implementation, and key findings.

RESULTS

Table 1: MM Approaches

TYPES OF IMPLEMENTATION	
• Intergenerational Programming (Patients with dementia serve as teachers to toddlers); n = 4	
• Resident-Assisted-Programming (Patients with mild dementia serve as mentors to those with more severe dementia); n = 2	
• Group settings vs. one-on-one administration; n = 2	
• Use of volunteers or caregivers trained in Montessori Methods; n = 3	
MONTESSORI METHODS	
• Breaking down tasks.	• Emphasis on self-construction and self-choice.
• Tools from everyday environment used.	• Move from simple to complex tasks.
• Catered to individual interest.	• Use of repetition.
CHARACTERISTICS ASSESSED	
• Levels of engagement.	• Agitation.
• Self-engagement, non-engagement, passive, and constructive.	• Boredom.
	• Socialization and communication.
	• Apathy.
CONTROL ACTIVITIES	
• Art therapy.	• Discussion groups.
• Movies.	• Other regularly scheduled activities.
• Current events.	

Scope of the Literature

- 14 records met inclusion criteria - 11 intervention/2 reviews/1 commentary.
- Studies took place in the USA (n=12) and Australia (n=2).
- Study participants were men and women with dementia, with ages ranging between 56 to 101 years.
- Patients ranged from moderate to severe dementia, based on ratings such as the Mini Mental State Examination and Direct Assessment of Functional Status.
- Settings included nursing homes, adult health care centers, and special care units.

Key Preliminary Findings

- Implementation of MM resulted in higher levels of engagement, less apathy and agitation, and positive feelings and attitude towards self.
- A wide range of individuals have capability of administering MM.
- MM are easy to implement in real-world settings.
- Reduced negative stereotype towards older adults living with dementia-facilitates various stakeholders to work together.
- Positive feedback towards caregivers led them to feel more committed and positive towards their jobs.
- Improved social skills and fulfillment for patients.

DISCUSSION

- Current state of the literature shows that a variety of MM approaches can serve to improve psychosocial function in persons with moderate to severe levels of dementia.
- The most common implementation approaches identified in the literature were the following: 1) intergenerational programming; 2) the use of volunteers or caregivers trained in MM; and 3) resident-assisted programming (RAP)
- RAP may be the most feasible to implement since no outside volunteers and/or intergenerational partners are needed. However, involvement of informal caregivers in MM was found to impart benefits to both patients and caregivers.
- One-on-one administration seems to be more effective than group settings, although this may be less feasible due to lack of available resources (e.g., caregivers).
- There is a need for more Canadian-based research to identify feasible and optimal approaches for implementing MM in Canadian dementia settings.

NEXT STEPS

- An expert panel consultation process using qualitative methods will be undertaken to identify facilitators and barriers to implementation of MM in Canadian dementia care settings.
- The findings will be used to develop recommendations for clinical practice, policy and research in Canada.

REFERENCES

- Rising Tide – The Impact of Dementia on Canadian Society. Alzheimer Society of Canada. 2010. Retrieved at: http://www.alzheimer.ca/~media/Files/national/Advocacy/ASC_Rising_Tide_Full_Report_e.pdf
- Camp CJ. Origins of Montessori Programming for Dementia. *Nonpharmacol Ther Dement*. 2010; 1(2): 163-174.
- Andrew J. Critical review: Three systematic reviews of three treatments for people with dementia of the Alzheimer type (DAT): Montessori-based interventions (MBI); simulated presence therapy (SimPres); and group reminiscence therapy (RT). Retrieved at: http://www.uwo.ca/fhs/csd/ebp/reviews/2007-08/Andrews_j.pdf

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project is supported by the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council (SSHRC) - Grant #: 895-2011-1032.

